

Harry Vere Lehmann
Principal Attorney

Law Offices of Harry V. Lehmann PC
4 Vineyard Court
Novato, California 94947

Area Code 415
Telephone: 897-2121
Facsimile: 898-6959

July 6, 2017

VIA PRIORITY MAIL and/or HAND DELIVERY and/or EMAIL

Assemblymember Marc Levine
5135 State Capitol
Sacramento, CA 95814

Re: Mass casualties are likely in District 10 from passage of SB 649

Dear Assemblymember Levine,

Senate Bill 649, a decision now before you, will likely result in mass fatalities in your District including children, who due to skull development levels and other factors, are more subject than adults to the effects of the carcinogenic cellular radiation which will saturate the homes in your District if this Bill is passed. Like you, I disdain inflammatory phases as such are so often deployed by emotionally over-loaded people with incomplete capture of the facts and science. Yet, directly relevant to SB 649, the Toxicology Program of our federal National Institutes of Health has shown through their \$25 million study, as announced on May 27, 2016, that cellular wireless radiation affects human tissue via non-thermal means and the formation of glioma, the cancer cell which results in glioblastoma (the brain cancer that kills people). Brain cancer killed four of my colleagues and friends which is what led me several years ago into dedication to our work educating consumers about smartphone proximity through www.greenswan.org. SB 649 is not about smart phone proximity but the constant radiation from the 50,000 towers from SB 649 presents a moral necessity to oppose this Bill. SB 649, now pushed by an industry which makes huge donations and contributions, is being advocated to wirelessly supplant cable as a main means of delivering TV, et al. Senate Bill 649 should be stopped in its tracks in order to protect the residents of your District. At the very least, SB 649 should be put on hold pending further study, as our federal Senate decided regarding the federal version of the same Bill, called S-19, pending further study.

As a trial lawyer, I have specialized in engineering and scientific proof civil cases since 1983. As nearly as I can tell I've personally handled approximately 1,600 civil cases, including certified class actions like the Chevron aviation gasoline matter (new engines for 1647 aircraft), the Mobil Oil AV-1 matter (overhauled or replaced engines for 850 aircraft, mostly twins), and I served as part of the plaintiffs' team in the Yuba Flood Cases, which after far too long settled against the State for \$423 million, as well as many hundreds of other cases, some of them also involving large groups of plaintiffs. I am not important, or by contemporary standards wealthy. Like you, I want facts, not hot-headed opinion from

some alarmist. Rather, our opposition to SB 649 is not grounded in emotion, but strongly grounded in science. If this Bill is allowed to be passed by our Assembly and is then signed into law, mass Crimes Against Humanity will likely result due to radiation exposure, **as you are hereby notified and warned**. Dated May 23, 2017, a 14 page submission on this subject was made to the already-overworked Senate Appropriations Committee, which presentation was composed of a detailed and annotated letter over my signature and supported by a Declaration under Penalty of Perjury by Mr. Paul McGavin. Paul and I are mere two of the large of California residents who, like representatives of cities and counties, oppose SB 649. Rather than taking up your time with more of my own words, and having given you this legal Notice of the likely consequences from the passage of SB 649, I respectfully advise you of said letter submitted to Senate Appropriations to your attention, and hereby incorporate that May 23rd Appropriations letter herein by this reference as though more fully set forth. Copies of that letter and Declaration should be immediately available to you from Senator Lara's office if you so request. I note in closing the additional factor that if SB 649 becomes law in California, the State will face ruinous financial loss from the resulting ADA complaints, all as set forth in that incorporated letter. **A selection of sources for your study is listed below**. Thank you.

Very truly yours,



Harry V. Lehmann

A small selection of articles and sources related to non-ionizing radiation and health:

<https://ehtrust.org>

<http://scientists4wiredtech.com>

<http://scientists4wiredtech.com/2017/03/rfr-hazards/>

<https://www.niehs.nih.gov/news/newsletter/2016/6/science-highlights/cellphones/index.htm>

<http://www.motherjones.com/environment/2016/05/federal-study-links-cell-phone-radiation-cancer/>

<http://www.cbc.ca/news/cell-tower-radiation-harmful-to-humans-study-1.958047>

<https://www.wsj.com/articles/cellphone-boom-spurs-antenna-safety-worries-1412293055>

<http://www.latimes.com/business/la-fi-cellphone-5g-health-20160808-snap-story.html>