January 17, 2018

TO: Federal Communications Commission

FROM: Harry V. Lehmann

RE: Pending Regulation 17-84

I have been unable to achieve submission of this document, with the following text, in objection to 17-84, for unknown reasons, in that the cursor which shows on my computer screen, there have been repeated tries, has no effect on the COMMENT button where members of the public are supposed to be able to submit comments. I have accordingly authorized a computer specialist to submit my exact words from his machine. My objections to 17-84 are as follows:

1) The FCC website for comment insertion has been intermittently non-functional this evening, the very last opportunity for objections:

2) There is hard scientific proof that the non-ionizing radiation involved in cellular transmission and communication causes DNA strand breakage. Additionally, there exists hard evidence that persons in the telecom industry engaged in a direct intentional effort to ‘war game,’ against the reputation of pioneering scientist from the University of Washington, Dr. Henry Lai, who experimentally documented the hard fact of DNA breakage from this radiation, including by non-thermal means.

3) While published academically, the article on Dr. Lai in Seattle Magazine dated January 2011 is noted to the attention of the recipient, as including not only the academic experimental findings but also apparently irrefutable evidence of the intentional plan to discredit Dr. Lai, all as incorporated herein by this reference as same article may be found at the following link:

   http://www.seattlemag.com/article/uw-scientist-henry-lai-makes-waves-cell-phone-industry

4) There is considerable further hard scientific evidence showing, as expected from profuse DNA fracture, as demonstrated from the findings of the National Toxicology Program of our National Institutes of Health as released in first summary to the public on May 27, 2016, which findings from said study are incorporated herein as more fully set forth, including but not limited to at the following link:
5) A letter dated August 18, 2016 from Beatrice Alexandra Golomb, MD, PhD, Professor of Medicine, UC San Diego School of Medicine, consisting of four pages of annotated text analysis with more than twenty pages of additional sources showing health hazards from microwave EMF was submitted into the public record in opposition to CA SB 649, the ‘5G on every block’ Bill which California’s Governor Brown vetoed on October 15, 2016, is referenced herewith as a substantial and material compendium of studies showing adverse health effects from cellular radiation, such as from 4G and 5G, which are what our society will be left with in the absence of copper wire for communications. Said letter of Dr. Golomb is incorporated into this objection by this reference as more fully set forth herein, as said letter is available at the following link:


4) If FCC 17-84 is allowed into regulation, the resulting hyper-saturation of the affected populous will, on the basis of clear and convincing scientific evidence, cause new instances of major disease, aggravate current disease conditions, result in DNA fracture, result in deaths, and greatly increased healthcare costs for treatment of diseases shown to result from such exposures, including but not limited to glioblastoma.

5) The conversion to 5G presents great financial risks to government including not only with regard to healthcare costs, but also massive potential liability exposure, including for sterility, which has been well-demonstrated to result via non-thermal means from exposure to modulated microwave EMF in the spectrums intended for cellular us, including the spectrums anticipated for 5G, which are sought to take the place of cable for entertainment and communication in many circumstances, which poses an especial level of danger to persons living in public housing and any other large multi-unit structures, due to saturation of all residents from the Internet services used by each and every resident of the same building and neighboring buildings, all as described in the July 19 letter from the undersigned Harry V. Lehmann, which letter and scientific discussion is incorporated herein as more fully set forth as the text of which is available at, and integrated herein from, the following link:


For all of the above reasons, objection his hereby stated to FCC approval of 17-84

Respectfully Submitted, Harry V. Lehmann
Law Offices of Harry V. Lehmann, PC
4 Vineyard Court, Novato, California 94947